



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/751,163	12/29/2000	Salvatore N. Storino	RO998-200B	1259
75	90 09/11/2002			
Roy W. Truelson			EXAMINER	
IBM CORPORATION Department 917			NGUYEN, KHIEM D	
3605 Highway 52 North Rochester, MN 55901-7829			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
reconcision, mil	00,01,02,		2823	
			DATE MAILED: 09/11/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del>- Be</del> -			
•		Application No.		14-			
Office Action Summary		09/751,163	STORINO ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
<u> </u>		Khiem D Nguyen	2823	SS			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence addresses Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
1)□	•	This action is non-final.					
2a)☐ 3\□	,		ers, prosecution as to the	merits is			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
•	on of Claims	stion					
	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the applica						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
-	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.							
,—	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction are on Papers	ng/or election requirement.					
• •	The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 December 2000</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
·-	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachmen		•					
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	3) 5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-				
0.0-444	Frademark Office						

Art Unit: 2823

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 2 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 2, line 2, it is unclear where "a first node of said SOI device" is located in the drawings.

In claim 2, line 4, it is unclear where "an input of said SOI device" is located in the drawings.

In claim 6, line 3 and claim 7, line 6, it is unclear where "a common node" is located in the drawings.

In claim 7, line 7, it is unclear which "intermediate node" is referring to.

In claim 7, line 11, it is unclear what "a discharge potential" is encompasses. The specification does not provide sufficient details about this feature.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

#### Double Patenting

3. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term

Art Unit: 2823

"same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

4. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-22 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,271,686. This is a double patenting rejection.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in-

- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kartschoke et al.
   (U.S. Patent 6,201,425).

Kartschoke teaches a method of eliminating parasitic bipolar transistor action in a Silicon on Insulator (SOI) Metal Oxide Semiconductor (MOS) device, the method comprising (See col. 5, lines 53-65, col. 6, line 39 to col. 7, line 35 and FIG. 5):

controlling the conduction of an active discharging device, said active discharging device being coupled to said SOI device, whereby the parasitic bipolar transistor is deactivated, the method further comprising:

Art Unit: 2823

providing a first signal to a first node 111 of said SOI device wherein the first signal is a clock signal (CLK).

providing a second signal A to an input of said SOI device; and activating the conduction of said active discharging device according to the state of said first and second signals.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claim 7 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 8. Claims 8-16 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khiem D Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 306-0210. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00 AM - 5:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on (703) 308-4918. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-9179 for regular communications and (703) 746-9179 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Art Unit: 2823

K.N. September 5, 2002

> LONG PHAM PRIMARY EXAMINER